SHELBY COUNTY BOARD MEETING
May 11, 2023 – 7:00 P.M.

The Shelby County Board met on Thursday, May 11, 2023, at 7:00 P.M. in Courtroom A of the Shelby County Courthouse in Shelbyville, Illinois.

Chairman Robert Orman called the meeting to order. Prayer was given by board member Annette Martin and all those present said the Pledge of Allegiance.

County Clerk Jessica Fox called the roll. Charles Davis Jr. and Heath McCormick were absent.

Minutes for the March 9, 2023, meeting were presented for approval. Ross made motion to remove from the table and approve these minutes, Firnhaber seconded said motion, which passed by voice vote (20 yes, 0 no).

Minutes for the April 13, 2023, board meeting were presented for approval. Ogden made motion to approve the minutes. Wallace seconded said motion, which passed by voice vote (20 yes, 0 no).

Minutes for the April 18, 2023, emergency board meeting were presented for approval. Williams made motion to approve the minutes. Kessler seconded said motion, which passed by voice vote (20 yes, 0 no).

Chairman Orman called for Public Body Comment. A summary of public body comment is as follows:

Lisa Swenny, 16-year County employee and AFSCME Union President read her email exchange with board member Brands. Swenny also stated until July of 2020 there were quarterly Labor Management meetings between AFSCME members, a county board designee, and the Treasurer, until the Treasurer stated in July of 2020, she would attend no more Labor Management meetings. Sweeny stated Board Chairman Orman misrepresented the proposed settlement agreement with AFSCME, and he also lied to her on a FOIA request. While Orman responded no records responsive to her request, board member Mark Bennett provided Swenny with email communication between the two men. Swenny stated she had also spoken to board member Clay Hardy in April regarding the work environment and heard nothing back from him regarding concerns she expressed. Swenny stated the last 4 years of being a county employee have been the most stressful, negative, and filled with turmoil. Sweeny made the analogy of being a county employee right now to treading water in shark infested waters, when the sharks start circling, you just hope you can survive. Sweeny stated she might only be 5'2" but she was willing to stand tall for the citizens and board members that support her, her fellow co-workers both past and present and her county.

Elizabeth Ragan, 8-year county employee spoke about the false information shared during a board meeting about the former State's Attorney. It was stated during a board meeting by board member Ogden that the cash out of vacation time would have amounted to $41,000. Ragan stated she had emails between the Treasurer and Board Chair which verified the total amount to closer to $23,339.96, yet nobody corrected Ogden by providing the correct figure. Ragan questioned why 2 people misrepresented the facts? Ragan also expressed concerns about statements made regarding the SA budget being stressed by this vacation payout, questioned where the $36,000 was coming from for the pay increase for the ASA, and asked why the office was not open from 8-4 daily as Chairman Orman stated it would be effective February 1? Ragan also questioned why information was not shared with all board members, as she knew of some board members who had not received emailed information related to county business provided to other members.

Both employees expressed concerns about retaliation.

Board member Edwards questioned Ragan about her last statement and asked Ragan to provide her with information related to the emails not going to everyone.

Marilyn Schmitz addressed the board to state she had tried to share information at the last board meeting regarding employees' complaints of a toxic work environment. She stated that her time was hijacked, and others were allowed to talk over her. Schmitz stated it appears the board does not want to be moved forward and stated the board members are very unprofessional.

Chris Boehm stated he had been doing some research and found that the county could make $1,000-$2,000 per acre on the county farm, if they would work with Prairie Power/Shelby Electric to put wind or solar on this ground. This would allow the county to make money off this ground, yet still maintain ownership of it.

John Kraft stated he surprisingly agrees with Boehm, but our local State Representative was shot down when he brought this up during a hearing related to county owned farm ground in Springfield.

Tricia Miller stated she likes the website. She also stated she appreciates the time board members have given to her in responding to her questions about various issues. Miller stated she does have some concerns regarding the leadership of the county, the miscommunication, and false narrative and the push to seem to want to sell the county farm.

Board member Edwards stated she had sent an email to every department head on April 21, 23. Edwards read the email she had sent requesting department heads responds in writing to a list of questions she had posed. Edwards stated she only received responses from the State's Attorney and the Treasurer, and also stated Steve Melega's email had bounced back. Edwards stated she wasn't sure what the lack of response meant but felt that the "narrative that no one cares is not true" and saying a blanket statement like that is "unfair."

Jill Miller questioned what the office hours for the State's Attorney's office are, how often they are here and if they have staff available, since she has been told the office is not open every day. The public has attempted to call the office, and nobody answers the phone. Miller was told the phones in the State's Attorney's office are "not working."

Board member Nelson questioned Elizabeth Ragan about the Public safety agenda not being received by either Board member Boehm or animal control and she should include that in her "findings."

Kirk Allen stated he also agrees with Chris Boehm regarding a use for the county farm. Allen stated after 13 years "of this" there is an answer for every question presented here tonight if you are willing to get to it. It had been stated our State Representative was against wind/solar, however Allen mention that Representative Halbrook presented options in the farm bill for wind/solar, custom farming, crop share and "they" wanted nothing to do with it except for leasing. Allen questioned how many had listened to the Senate hearing on this matter. Allen said the only way to "hash this stuff out" is by the back and forth.

Board member Bennett said we always go back to the farm again; this all came about by other boards not following the rules. The county wants to make money from the farm, but previous members didn't want to go in the direction to take bids and follow the law. Bennett stated instead of stating facts everyone tries to blow it out of proportion. Bennett stated many in the room had bucked the law and didn't want to have to take bids. Bennett questioned why is the law being changed if it could be leased? Bennett stated if former SA Kroncke had come to the committee and said, "your right, let's change it", but nobody came and sat down and asked him or previous board member John Pogue. Bennett said on social media you can write whatever you want and that they were not trying to sell the farm, but the farm committee wanted to farm the farm legally. Bennett stated a lot of folks just wanted to create their own narrative about the farm.

Cole stated she has never been opposed to bidding out the farming of the farm. Bennett said people have said "Carol saved the farm from being sold". Cole responded those are not "her words", but she supports the farm being farmed and she will continue to support and work for the farm to be farmed. The farm is currently custom farmed.

Time was conceded to Chris Boehm who read from the Feb. 11, 2021, county board minutes where Board member Mark Bennett stated members of the Farm Committee felt the best option was to sell the county farm. Bennett stated at the Feb. 11, 21 meeting he understands the emotional aspect of this ground to the county. The board tabled this action at that meeting.

Austin Pritchard questioned the use of $15,000 in ARPA funds to digitize records in the State's Attorney's office but questioned how this person could start this project on March 6 prior to approval from the board on March 9? Pritchard also asked if a committee had every been set up to determine how the ARPA funds would be spent? The money must be earmarked by 2024 and must be spent by 2026.

Board member Boehm stated at the March 9 meeting the board voted to pay Moultrie County $30,000 for the special prosecutor out of ARPA and allotted $15,000 for the scanning project. Boehm stated the bills we have paid for the scanning were from March 6 – March 9. Boehm questioned what is happening to these documents after they have been scanned, are they being returned to the courthouse?

Orman stated his "off the cuff" answer on that was the money was in the budget so there is the ability to spend an obligated amount of funds. The funds were in the SA's budget, but it may have caused a shortfall in the end, if ARPA funds hadn't been approved. Orman stated the funds where "there". Orman said he was going to look at it justifiably that the SA had the money in the budget.

Boehm stated the date of the bill for scanning speaks to transparency. On May 1, Elizabeth Dobson was sworn in as special assistant state's attorney. Boehm asked if she was an employee of Shelby County or if payment for her was still being paid out of ARPA? Boehm stated she didn't know anything about this. Orman stated somewhere in his archives he could locate about the SA, the ASA, the special prosecutors. Orman stated the SA could appoint a special state's attorney. Boehm asked how she was being paid. Orman suggested Boehm contact the SA and "find out cause he didn't know and it would take him a minute to go to the statutes and figure it out". Boehm stated there was no clerical in the office and Orman said they are still searching for office staff.

Board member Firnhaber stated the "witch-hunt against our State's Attorney Rob Hanlon is getting insanely old". Firnhaber reported another office head in the last 2-3 weeks hired another employee and nothing was said about that. Firnhaber asked if Boehm was going to ridicule that department head? Firnhaber continued to state if people would spend as much time being productive as they are trying to find "gotcha moments for Rob Hanlon, we might actually get something accomplished.

Supervisor of Assessments Debbie Dunaway spoke to the fact that she had indeed hired a part-time employee and the money was in her budget.

Jill Miller stated she was simply trying to find out when they are here. Firnhaber reported Hanlon had been in Champaign at the Federal trials and dealing with lawsuits involving the Sheriff's office and might have been out of the office.

Tom Finks, former Shelby County State's Attorney stated it was a concern when county documents were transported 200 miles away and questioned why a company couldn't be hired to come here and scan the documents. Finks reported that 99% of Christian County's scanning was done by 1 staffer, this person comes in a few times a month and they are paid $13.00 an hour. Finks stated this work could be done locally for a cheaper rate than $50.00 an hour.

Ogden questioned if the documents needing to be scanned where a back log? Ogden also said the reason for the back log was because previous offices didn't do their jobs, so Ogden said "let's stop it" and get an answer. Orman stated it is a "massive security issue for anyone within 100 miles to scan documents in this county or within 5 counties around this county" due to compromising the security of the documents.

Ogden also said this county could eliminate a lot of frustration in this county by selling the county farm ground and putting that revenue in a CD. Ogden said this would make money for the county and "we wouldn't have to argue."

Jake Cole asked if the documents were being inventoried to make sure what left the county was also returned to the county.

Another taxpayer commented this wasn't a witch hunt against the SA, this is about taxpayer money and the transparency in which it is spent.

After a lengthy public body comment session, the board continued with the agenda at 8:22 PM.

Chairman Orman announced a vacancy in Board of Review District #2 due to the resignation of Patricia Kensil effective May 12, 2023. Board member Nelson stated the question was raised in some of the Public Safety committee meetings that there could be a vacancy based on switching the rooms in the Courthouse from the current BOR office. 35 ILCS 200/6 is the Board of Review statue, Nelson questioned whether these positions were elected or appointed, and how these vacancies would be filled. Supervisor of Assessments Debbie Dunaway, as Clerk of the Board of Review, stated the positions were currently elected. She suggested the board speak with their legal counsel regarding the filling of the vacancy.

Upon recommendation of the Legislative Committee, Williams made motion to approve the implementation of a new county wide phone system. Edwards seconded said motion. Discussion followed. Williams explained the phone system in the Courthouse is very old and needs replaced. Mytec Solutions has done research on the committee's behalf and recommended a VoIP system. Williams stated this new system will pay for itself and then some and will save the county money in the long run. The estimated costs are below the bid threshold of $30,000 and come in at just under $17,000. The new system will have many features, such as voice mail and automated answering for those offices wishing to implement those features. David Woods informed the board that the Courthouse has 100 meg fiber so there is plenty of speed for internet and phone and no offices should notice a slowdown in service.

Motion by Williams, second by Edwards passed by roll call vote (20 yes, 0 no). Ayes: Bennett, Boehm, Brands, Brown, Cole, Edwards, Firnhaber, Hardy, Kessler, Martin, Mayhall, Morse, Nelson, Ogden, Orman, Ross, Shuff, Tate, Wallace, and Williams. Nay: none.

At this time, Chairman Orman called for the County Highway Engineer's report.

Reed Best presented for approval a 50/50 petition from the Clarksburg Township Highway Engineer to replace a 102" arched corrugated metal pipe, located 1.5 miles northwest of "Gallagher's corner", north of Fancher. Estimated costs are $12,635.67 and will be split equally between Clarksburg township and the County.

Kessler made motion to approve the petition. Wallace seconded said motion, which passed by roll call vote (20 yes, 0 no). Ayes: Bennett, Boehm, Brands, Brown, Cole, Edwards, Firnhaber, Hardy, Kessler, Martin, Mayhall, Morse, Nelson, Ogden, Orman, Ross, Shuff, Tate, Wallace, and Williams. Nay: none.

Best reported a bid letting had been held at the Highway Department on May 4 for the Moweaqua blacktop bridge project located 2 miles east of Moweaqua. The only bidder for this project was C-Hill Civil Contractors, which bought out Depew and Owen. Estimated costs for this project are $545,888. This cost is 14% over the estimate. Best expressed concerns that rebidding this project or delaying this project until next year would only result in higher costs. Best stated this bridge is in need of repair. Best informed the board this bridge is 28' by 60' and this project will raise the bridge up by 8-10." It was stated that harvest would be a bad time to close this bridge as there are no good roads around this bridge to the elevator. There is no confirmed schedule from the contractor since the bid has not been accepted. Best spoke with the company that makes the decking materials and they have materials available. It is currently anticipated that this bridge project will be completed in the late summer before harvest begins.

Kessler made motion to approve the resolution to award the bid. Bennett seconded said motion, which passed by roll call vote (20 yes, 0 no). Ayes: Bennett, Boehm, Brands, Brown, Cole, Edwards, Firnhaber, Hardy, Kessler, Martin, Mayhall, Morse, Nelson, Ogden, Orman, Ross, Shuff, Tate, Wallace, and Williams. Nay: none.

Best next presented a resolution to use $360,00 in Rebuild Illinois Funds towards the construction of this bridge. In 2020, a resolution was approved for $250,000 in Rebuild Illinois Funds to be used toward the design and construction of this bridge project. $50,000 of the $250,000 has been spent on design. The remaining $200,000 will be put towards construction costs. Best is asking for approval for an additional $360,000 in RIF to be put towards construction costs of the Moweaqua Bridge project. Best said he included an additional $15,000 to offset any unforeseen expenses that might arise during construction.

Cole made motion to approve the Rebuild Illinois Funds resolution. Tate seconded said motion, which passed by roll call vote (20 yes, 0 no). Ayes: Bennett, Boehm, Brands, Brown, Cole, Edwards, Firnhaber, Hardy, Kessler, Martin, Mayhall, Morse, Nelson, Ogden, Orman, Ross, Shuff, Tate, Wallace, and Williams. Nay: none.

At this time, Road, and Bridge committee chair Kessler, addressed the board to request a $15,000 sign on bonus for the County Highway Engineer position. The committee feels it is time to be very aggressive and make a stand the county wants to hire a county engineer. The committee feels it needs to get serious in order to compete against other organizations searching for engineer candidates. This sign on bonus would be paid in the following manner, $7,500 at the end of the first payroll period. The other $7,500 would be paid at the 6-month anniversary of employment.

Kessler made motion to approve a $15,000 sign on bonus. Brown seconded said motion. Discussion followed regarding the motion and second. Boehm stated she felt that more money could be allotted from ARPA to use as a sign on bonus as $15,000 might not be enough to draw a good candidate. Edwards stated very strict rules should be put with the sign on bonus, and that guidelines should be put in place to ensure that if the employee left prior to a set amount of time, the sign on bonus would have to be paid back. Williams suggested doing a friendly amendment to increase the amount of the sign on bonus and add on certain stipulations. Kessler didn't want to string a sign on bonus over a 6-year period. Concerns were expressed that someone might not take the position if they felt they were "locked" into a certain period of time, if someone was trying to better themselves. Mayhall suggested doing a sign-on bonus of a certain amount and then add a retention bonus to be paid on the anniversary date for a certain period of years.

Mayhall amends the motion to increase the sign on bonus to $30,000, $7,500 on signing, $7,500 to be paid at the 6-month anniversary and the remaining $15,000 to be paid as a retention bonus in $5,000 increments on the anniversary date over the period of 3 years. Williams seconded the amended motion.

Wallace stated the county will save over $100,000 on one thing the engineer will be able to do. This is an investment, as the county will recoup its money in a couple of years on the engineer's salary, just on what the county is paying out right now for not having a county engineer, plus the county will start losing funds real soon if we don't get an engineer hired.

Amended motion and second passed by roll call vote (20 yes, 0 no). Ayes: Bennett, Boehm, Brands, Brown, Cole, Edwards, Firnhaber, Hardy, Kessler, Martin, Mayhall, Morse, Nelson, Ogden, Orman, Ross, Shuff, Tate, Wallace, and Williams. Nay: none.

The main motion made by Kessler, seconded by Brown as amended passed by voice vote (20 yes, 0 no). Ayes: Bennett, Boehm, Brands, Brown, Cole, Edwards, Firnhaber, Hardy, Kessler, Martin, Mayhall, Morse, Nelson, Ogden, Orman, Ross, Shuff, Tate, Wallace, and Williams. Nay: none.

Chairman Orman than stated that the verbiage of the agenda items was changed from what was actually on the agenda. Orman was questioning whether the amounts should have been changed. The employment agreement when brought before the board, will once again address these issues, which will be required to be voted on after review by the State's Attorney.

Firnhaber made motion to approve the use of ARPA funds in the amount of $15,000. Mayhall seconded said motion. Williams stared he had concerned the board was voting on something that was not on the agenda. The public was not made aware of a sign on bonus for an amount to exceed $15,000 and nothing is on the agenda regarding a retention bonus. Nelson questioned how this item could rightly be amended or if it could be? What would be a proper amendment? Orman stated he didn't believe the item should be amended because it was written specifically. To this Williams responded "this is one of those things where you need to phrase your agenda item carefully and unfortunately sometimes rather vaguely" prior to Orman stating the agenda item language was written exactly as presented by the road and bridge committee, as that is what they recommended a $15,000 split. Kessler reported the retention aspect of it could come later, since the initial S15,000 would still be paid as written.

Orman stated let's get the motions corrected. Let's get everything corrected. It was suggested the board pass the ARPA resolution as presented for $15,000. The motion by Firnhaber, second by Mayhall to use $15,000 in ARPA funds as a sign on bonus passed by roll call vote (19 yes, 0 no. Hardy out of the room). Ayes: Bennett, Boehm, Brands, Brown, Cole, Edwards, Firnhaber, Kessler, Martin, Mayhall, Morse, Nelson, Ogden, Orman, Ross, Shuff, Tate, Wallace, and Williams. Nay: none.

Williams made motion to reconsider the approval of the sign on bonus agenda item. Tate seconded said motion which passed by voice vote (19 yes, 0 no).

Williams made motion to approve the $15,000 sign on bonus as originally presented on the board agenda. Tate seconded said motion, which passed by roll call vote (19 yes, 0 no) Ayes: Bennett, Boehm, Brands, Brown, Cole, Edwards, Firnhaber, Kessler, Martin, Mayhall, Morse, Nelson, Ogden, Orman, Ross, Shuff, Tate, Wallace, and Williams. Nay: none.

Kessler made motion to approve IDOT resolution form BLR 02110 certifying the name of Nick Burrus, who is currently employed elsewhere, to IDOT to sit for the County Engineer exam. Wallace seconded said motion, which passed by voice vote (20 yes, 0 no).

Williams made motion to approve the credit card policy as recommended by the Legislative committee. Edwards seconded said motion. Firnhaber made motion to table the credit card policy. Ross seconded said motion, which passed by voice vote (15 yes, 5 no Brands, Brown, Edwards, Ogden, and Williams).

At the recommendation of the Public Safety committee, Ross makes a motion to approve leasing space on the 1st floor of the Courthouse in the southwest corner office to the Illinois Courts Pre-Trial program in the amount of $25.80 per square foot annually for a 2-year lease agreement. The Sheriff was able to get more money for the lease. Edwards seconded said motion, which passed by voice vote (20 yes, 0 no). It was discussed that the Judge preferred, and the pre-trial program requested this office be located in the basement (1st floor) of the courthouse on the same floor as other court related office such as Probation and the Public Defender's office. The lease agreement still has to be approved by the State, pending County Board approval. Pre-Trial services are required daily Mon-Fri, while Board of Review only meets for 6 months out of the year.

Ross made motion to relocate the Board of Review office (the space that will be leased to the Pre-Trial program) to the office space located directly north of the Treasurer's office on the 2nd floor of the courthouse upon recommendation of the Public Safety committee. Edwards seconded said motion. Orman stated the initial talks of leasing space had occurred in January. This office space that will now by the Board of Review has been loosely occupied by the Treasurer who was willing to work with the board in January and Orman felt she would be willing to work with the Board regarding this space now. This would allow the board of review department to be on the same floor as the Supervisor of Assessment's office. Motion passed by voice vote (20 yes, 0 no).

Also recommended by the public safety committee was to rescind resolution 2021-22 passed by the County Board on July 8, 2021, which read:

WHEREAS Shelby County owns a 2012 Chevrolet Silverado with more than 109,000 miles on the odometer and used by the Office of Animal Control (VIN# 1GCNKPE06CZ225377).
WHEREAS the Office of Animal Control would benefit from the use of a vehicle with less mileage: and
WHEREAS the Office of Animal Control has adequate funds within its budget to purchase a vehicle with less mileage:
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the above referenced 2012 Chevrolet Silverado may be traded to an automobile dealer at fair market value for the purchase of a replacement vehicle.
July 8, 2021
Signed by Robert Orman Board Chairman
Attest by Jessica Fox Shelby County Clerk

The Public Safety committee finds the trading in of surplus equipment contradictory to the law, so their recommendation was to rescind this resolution. Although the new truck for Animal Control was ordered in 2021 it has not yet been delivered to the dealer. County property can't be traded in but must be declared surplus and bids accepted for sale. In light of these circumstances, Ross made motion to rescind resolution 21-22. Brands seconded said motion, which passed by voice vote (20 yes, 0 no).

Chairman Orman called for committee reports. (Committee reports are attached to these minutes). Reports were given and items presented for follow-up are as follows:

Public Safety member Nelson reported she has been working with the Sheriff and the company Axon regarding body cameras. Axon will be able to offer the county a better price, so the timing is right for Axon as well as the county. We will be getting new cameras straight out of the box. Axon was the lowest bid and has been eager to work with Shelby County and have worked to make sure the language of the contract conforms to the law. The money for this contract will be budgeted in the 3-month budget for the Sheriff's department.

Finance chair Bennett reported to the board that 3 computers had been removed from an office, and this changes the contract for the Mytec bill since it is based on the pieces of equipment per department and the contract is a flat $4,000 charge each month. If one department loses or gains equipment, it might change the amount each department pays. This might impact a department's budget as they are counting on a specific amount monthly. Bennett is trying to get some answers for the committee, but at this time was not able to get his questions answered regarding the managed services bill.

Road/Bridge Chair Kessler stated absence of a highway engineer at the highway department has strained the budget. 2 years ago, money was put in the budget to purchase a new tandem vehicle which just arrived. The R/B committee will be bringing something forward to allow the use of ARPA money to purchase this tandem vehicle.

Boehm asked about the audit for FY ending 8/31/2022, Chairman Orman stated the audit "was well under way, but he wasn't sure where they were on it".

Continuing with Chairman updates Orman has tasked the new Zoning Administrator with updating the wind and solar ordinances which must comply with State law by the end of May.

Shelby County Board Meeting
May 11, 2023

Orman heard from FS who stated they wanted to spray Fungicide with an airplane and not a ground rig, which add additional costs. Orman stated his feelings were if the fungicide can't be applied with a ground rig, it might not be applied this year. Bennett mentioned he had another supplier speak with him at home and they were applying fungicide by air as well. It is possible that no fungicide will be added to the wheat crop due to this application issue and the increase costs.

Orman stated a special meeting may be required at the end of the month to review the wind/solar ordinance and deal with the board of review vacancies.

Chairman Orman requested approval of the following appointments:

Sean Creviston as trustee for the Findlay Fire Protection district for a 3-year term. Motion by Edwards, seconded by Morse, all voted aye by voice (20 yes, 0 no).

Joshua Culumber, as Drainage Commissioner for #2 Tower Hill to replace Kenneth Kramer, term to expire Sept. 2, 2025. Motion by Ogden, seconded by Kessler, all voted aye by voice (20 yes, 0 no).

Mark Wolf, as trustee for Tri-Co Fire Protection District, by resolution for 3-year term. Motion by Brands, seconded by Firnhaber, all voted aye by voice (20- yes, 0 no).

Amy Black, as trustee for Herrick Fire Protection District for 3-year term. Motion by Wallace, seconded by Firnhaber, all voted aye by voice vote (20 yes, 0 no).

Under old business, Edwards reminded the board that there was a forensic audit done awhile back and there are still $700,000 and some dollars in payroll errors that still need to be remedied and stated sooner or later that needed to be addressed. Orman stated this definitely needed to "be tacked on the wall of things to do."

Mayhall asked where the county was at in terms of expenses paid to Moultrie County. It was stated the county should be well under the $30,000 amount approved.

Bennett made motion to approve the claims. Firnhaber seconded said motion. Bennett stated there were some claims filed last and some claims were questioned. Bennett reported questions regarding the claims that were held had been answered. Witness travel fees, Rescue squad bills for Ace Hardware and fuel reimbursement to Sheriff's office, probation expenses for mileage and drug court graduation were also approved to be paid.

Bennett made motion to amend the approval of claims to include those just explained. Firnhaber seconded the amendment of the bills which passed by roll call vote (20 yes, 0 no). Ayes: Ayes: Bennett, Boehm, Brands, Brown, Cole, Edwards, Firnhaber, Hardy, Kessler, Martin, Mayhall, Morse, Nelson, Ogden, Orman, Ross, Shuff, Tate, Wallace, and Williams. Nay: none.

Motion to approve the payment of claims as amended on a motion by Bennett, second by Firnhaber passed by roll call vote (20 yes, 0 no). Ayes: Ayes: Ayes: Bennett, Boehm, Brands, Brown, Cole, Edwards, Firnhaber, Hardy, Kessler, Martin, Mayhall, Morse, Nelson, Ogden, Orman, Ross, Shuff, Tate, Wallace, and Williams. Nay: none.

There was no further business to come before the Shelby County Board.

Nelson made motion to adjourn until the next regular meeting to be held on June 8, 2023. Williams seconded said motion, which passed by voice vote (20 yes, 0 no) and the meeting was adjourned at 9:40 PM.

Jessica Fox
Shelby County Clerk and Recorder